

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,270	RHODA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMED WASEL	2454	

All Participants:

(1) MOHAMED WASEL.

(2) MATTHEW PEQUIGNOT.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
12-15

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative with contacted by the Examiner to discuss a proposed amendment, to cancel claims 11-14 and amend claim 15. An authorization was given to the Examiner as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. W./
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:30 AM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)